arXiv:1607.01043v2 [math.AG] 13 Apr 2017

Non-existence of full exceptional collections on twisted flags and categorical representability via noncommutative motives

Saša Novaković

July 2016

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties is categorical representable in dimension zero if and only if it admits a k-rational point if and only if it is rational over k. For isotropic involution varieties we find that rationality over k is equivalent to the existence of full exceptional collections whereas for finite products of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties categorical representability in dimension zero is equivalent to the existence of a full exceptional collection. In this case categorical representability in dimension zero is not equivalent to the existence of a rational point. We also show that non-trivial twisted flags of classical type A_n, B_n, C_n and D_n $(n \neq 4)$ cannot have full exceptional collections, enlarging in this way the set of previous known examples.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Examples of twisted forms of homogeneous varieties 3
3. Exceptional collections and semiorthogonal decompositions 5
4. Recollections on noncommutative motives 6
5. Non-existence of full exceptional collections 7
6. Categorical representability in dimension zero and rational points 10
References 13

1. Introduction

Since the early works by Beilinson, Bondal and Kapranov on exceptional collections in geometric categories it has been conjectured that projective homogeneous spaces over algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero should have full exceptional collections. This conjecture has not yet yielded to a complete solution. The best approximation at the moment is the paper of Kuznetsov and Polishchuk [17] in which a uniform construction of exceptional collections has been proposed. However, it still remains to show that these collections are full. But there is an interesting problem appearing if the base field of the homogeneous spaces is not algebraically closed. Homogeneous spaces can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ and it is therefore natural to ask whether there exist full exceptional collections in this general case. It is also natural to consider twisted forms of homogeneous spaces and to study whether or not they admit full exceptional collections. And indeed, Raedschelders [24] proved quite recently that non-split Brauer–Severi varieties do not have full étale exceptional collections and provided in this way a positive answer to the conjecture that non-split Brauer–Severi varieties do not admit full exceptional collections (see [21]).

The aim of the present paper is to discuss the existence of (full) exceptional collections on certain twisted flags ${}_\gamma X$ and the relation to the existence of a rational point, respectively

to the rationality of the given variety ${}_\gamma X$. All the proofs of the results make use of noncommutative motives and are direct consequences of Corollary 2.13 and Theorem 2.19 of [27] and the short note [24]. Therefore, the results on non-existence of full exceptional collections are somehow known, but, to my best knowledge, stated nowhere. Nonetheless, we want to give the proofs, adding to the literature. The interesting observation however is that the (non)-existence of full exceptional collections for the considered varieties is related to the existence of k-rational points. So our main results will be Theorem 6.3 and Corollary 6.10 below.

Theorem (Proposition 5.1, 5.3 and Corollary 5.5)**.** *Let X be a finite product $X_1 \times ... \times X_n$ where all factors are either Brauer–Severi varieties, generalized Brauer–Severi varieties over a field of characteristic zero or twisted forms of smooth quadrics. Then X admits a full exceptional collection if and only if all the X_i are split, i.e, all X_i are either projective spaces, Grassmannians or smooth quadrics.*

Using noncommutative motives again and exploiting general facts from [23] and [19] we show that non-trivial twisted forms of homogeneous spaces of classical type can not have full exceptional collections.

Corollary (Corollary 5.8)**.** *Let G_i be a split simply connected simple algebraic group of classical type A_n, B_n, C_n or D_n ($n \neq 4$) over k ($\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$) and $\gamma_i \colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to G_i(k^s)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, 1-cocycles. Given parabolic subgroups $P_i \subset G_i$, let ${}_{\gamma_i}(G_i/P_i)$ be the twisted forms of G_i/P_i. If $X = {}_{\gamma_1}(G_1/P_1) \times ... \times {}_{\gamma_n}(G_n/P_n)$ admits a full exceptional collection, then all 1-cocycles γ_i must be trivial. In other words, non-trivial twisted flags of the considered type do not have full exceptional collections.*

Closely related to the problem of the existence of full exceptional collections is the question whether the considered variety admits a k-rational point. A potential measure for rationality was introduced by Bernardara and Bolognesi [5] with the notion of categorical representability. We use the definition given in [1]. A k-linear triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be *representable in dimension m* if there is a semiorthogonal decomposition (see Section 3 for the definition) $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ and for each $i = 1, ..., n$ there exists a smooth projective connected variety Y_i with $\dim(Y_i) \leq m$, such that $\mathcal{A}_i$ is equivalent to an admissible subcategory of $D^b(Y_i)$. We use the following notation

$$\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) := \min\{m \mid \mathcal{T} \text{ is representable in dimension m}\},$$

whenever such a finite m exists. Let X be a smooth projective k-variety. One says X is *representable in dimension m* if $D^b(X)$ is representable in dimension m. We will use the following notation:

$$\mathrm{rdim}(X) := \mathrm{rdim}(D^b(X)).$$

Note that when the base field k of a variety X is not algebraically closed, the existence of k-rational points on X is a major open question in arithmetic geometry. We recall the following question stated in [2].

Question. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over k. Can the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ detect the existence of a k-rational point?*

In this context we prove:

Theorem (Theorem 6.3)**.** *If X is the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties, then the following are equivalent:*

- **(i)** $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$.
- **(ii)** *X admits a full exceptional collection.*
- **(iii)** *X is rational over k.*
- **(iv)** *X admits a k-rational point.*

Note that this theorem is a generalization of Proposition 6.1 in [2] and that it is proved in a completely different way than the result in [2]. For the product of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties we obtain:

Theorem (Theorem 6.6)**.** *Let X be the finite product of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties over a field k of characteristic zero. Then* $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ *if and only if X splits as the finite product of Grassmannians over k.*

It is worth to mention that Theorem 6.6 in not equivalent to the statement that X admits a k-rational point (see Remark 6.8 for an explanation). However, for the finite product of certain twisted forms of smooth quadrics we can show the following:

Corollary (Corollary 6.10)**.** *Let* $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ *and X be the finite products of twisted forms of smooth quadrics over k where each factor is associated with an isotropic involution algebra of the first kind. Then the following are equivalent:*

- **(i)** $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$.
- **(ii)** *X admits a full exceptional collection.*
- **(iii)** *X is rational over k.*
- **(iv)** *X admits a k-rational point.*

Acknowledgement. Nearly all the proofs make use of noncommutative motives and I am grateful to Theo Raedschelders for his explanations in this respect and notes on literature. I also like to thank Marcello Bernardara for useful comments.

Conventions. Throughout this work k denotes an arbitrary ground field and k^s and $\bar{k}$ a separable respectively algebraic closure. Furthermore, any locally free sheaf is assumed to be of finite rank and will be called vector bundle.

2. Examples of twisted forms of homogeneous varieties

As references we use [19], §1 and [14], Chapter VI. An algebraic group over the field k will always mean an *affine* algebraic group. Let G be an algebraic group over k and X an algebraic variety such that G acts on X over k. The variety X is then called *G-variety*. For a closed (and reduced) subgroup H of G one has the associated *homogeneous G-variety* $X = G/H$. Throughout the work we also call it *homogeneous space*. Now let G be a semi-simple (so connected) algebraic group. A projective G-variety X is called *twisted flag* if $X \otimes_k k^s \simeq (G \otimes_k k^s)/P$ where P is a closed subgroup of $G \otimes_k k^s$. As $(G \otimes_k k^s)/P$ is projective, P must be a parabolic subgroup. Any twisted flag is a smooth, absolutely irreducible and reduced variety.

It is well-known that the twisted forms of a homogeneous space G/P are in one-to-one correspondence with $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(G \otimes_k k^s)/P))$. Now the $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k)$-group homomorphism $\bar{G}(k^s) \to \mathrm{Aut}((G \otimes_k k^s)/P)$ induces a map of pointed sets

$$\alpha\colon H^1(k, \bar{G}(k^s)) \longrightarrow H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}((G \otimes_k k^s)/P)).$$

For a twisted form we write ${}_\gamma X$ for ${}_{\alpha(\gamma)}X$ where $X = G/P$. So in the present work we will always take some 1-cocycle $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to G(k^s)$, the projective homogeneous space $X = G/P$ and its twisted form ${}_\gamma X$. Note that ${}_\gamma X \otimes_k k^s \simeq (G/P) \otimes_k k^s$. Furthermore, let $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{P}$ be the universal covers of G and P respectively. Denote by $R(\widetilde{G})$ and $R(\widetilde{P})$ the associated representation rings and by $\widetilde{Z} \subset \widetilde{G}$ the center of $\widetilde{G}$. Finally, let $\mathrm{Ch} := \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be the character group. Under these notations we can give some examples of twisted forms of homogeneous spaces which will occur quite frequently throughout this work.

Example 2.1. Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$. In this case we have $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n$ and $\widetilde{Z} \simeq \mu_n$. Then $\mathrm{Ch} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the following parabolic subgroup

$$\widetilde{P} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} | a \cdot \det(c) = 1 \} \subset \mathrm{SL}_n,$$

with $a \in k^\times$ and $c \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$. The associated projective homogeneous variety is $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P} \simeq G/P \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k$. Let $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(k^s)$ be a 1-cocycle, then the twisted form ${}_\gamma\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a so called *Brauer–Severi variety*.

Example 2.2. Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ as in Example 2.1. We fix a number $1 \leq d \leq n-1$ and consider the parabolic subgroup

$$\widetilde{P} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} | a \cdot \det(c) = 1 \} \subset \mathrm{SL}_n,$$

with $a \in \mathrm{GL}_d$ and $c \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-d}$. The associated projective homogeneous variety is $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P} \simeq G/P \simeq \mathrm{Grass}_k(d,n)$. Given a 1-cocycle $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(k^s)$, the twisted form ${}_\gamma\mathrm{Grass}_k(d,n)$ is called *generalized Brauer–Severi variety*.

Example 2.3. Let $G = \mathrm{PSO}_n$ with n even. Given a 1-cocycle $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to \mathrm{PSO}_n(k^s)$ it is well known that γ determines a central simple k-algebra A with an involution σ. The associated twisted homogeneous space ${}_\gamma(G/P)$ is a twisted form of the quadric G/P.

In all three examples from above the twisted forms can be described in terms of central simple k-algebras. Recall, a finite-dimensional k-algebra A is called *central simple* if it is an associative k-algebra that has no two-sided ideals other than 0 and A and if its center equals k. If the algebra A is a division algebra it is called *central division algebra*. Note that A is a central simple k-algebra if and only if there is a finite field extension $k \subset L$, such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$. This is also equivalent to $A \otimes_k \bar{k} \simeq M_n(\bar{k})$. An extension $k \subset L$ such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$ is called splitting field for A.

The *degree* of a central simple algebra A is defined to be $\deg(A) := \sqrt{\dim_k A}$. According to the *Wedderburn Theorem*, for any central simple k-algebra A there is an unique integer $n > 0$ and a division k-algebra D such that $A \simeq M_n(D)$. The division algebra D is also central and unique up to isomorphism. The degree of the unique central division algebra D is called the *index* of A and is denoted by $\mathrm{ind}(A)$. Two central simple algebras A and B are said to be *Brauer-equivalent* if there are positive integers r, s such that $M_r(A) \simeq M_s(B)$.

Note that a Brauer–Severi variety of dimension n is a scheme X of finite type over k such that $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for a finite field extension $k \subset L$. A field extension $k \subset L$ for which $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ is called *splitting field* of X. Clearly, k^s and $\bar{k}$ are splitting fields for any Brauer–Severi variety. In fact, every Brauer–Severi variety always splits over a finite Galois extension. It follows from descent theory that X is projective, integral and smooth over k. Via non-commutative Galois cohomology, Brauer–Severi varieties of dimension n are in one-to-one correspondence with central simple algebras A of degree $n+1$. For details and proofs on all mentioned facts we refer to [3] and [10].

To a central simple k-algebra A one can also associate twisted forms of Grassmannians. Let A be of degree n and $1 \leq d \leq n$. Consider the subset of $\mathrm{Grass}_k(d \cdot n, A)$ consisting of those subspaces of A that are left ideals I of dimension $d \cdot n$. This subset can be given the structure of a projective variety which turns out to be a generalized Brauer–Severi variety. It is denoted by $\mathrm{BS}(d, A)$. After base change to some splitting field E of A the variety $\mathrm{BS}(d, A)$ becomes isomorphic to $\mathrm{Grass}_E(d, n)$. If $d = 1$ the generalized Brauer–Severi variety is the Brauer–Severi variety associated to A. Note that $\mathrm{BS}(d, A)$ is a Fano variety. For details see [6].

Finally, to a central simple algebra A of degree n with involution σ of the first kind over a field k of $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ one can associate the *involution variety* $\mathrm{IV}(A, \sigma)$. This variety can be described as the variety of n-dimensional right ideals I of A such that $\sigma(I) \cdot I = 0$. If A is split so $(A, \sigma) \simeq (M_n(k), q^*)$, where q^* is the adjoint involution defined by a quadratic

form q one has $\mathrm{IV}(A,\sigma) \simeq V(q) \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$. Here $V(q)$ is the quadric determined by q. By construction such an involution variety $\mathrm{IV}(A,\sigma)$ becomes a quadric in $\mathbb{P}_L^{n-1}$ after base change to some splitting field L of A. In this way the involution variety is a twisted form of a smooth quadric in the sense of Example 2.3. Recall from [29] that a splitting field L of A is called *isotropically* if $(A,\sigma)\otimes_k L \simeq (M_n(L), q^*)$ with q an isotropic quadratic form over L. For details on the construction and further properties on involution varieties and the corresponding algebras we refer to [29].

It is a fact that all the twisted flags in the above examples appear as Brauer–Severi varieties, generalized Brauer–Severi varieties or twisted smooth quadrics associated to some central simple algebra A.

3. Exceptional collections and semiorthogonal decompositions

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{C}$ a triangulated subcategory. The subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ is called *thick* if it is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. For a subset A of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ we denote by $\langle A\rangle$ the smallest full thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ containing the elements of A. Furthermore, we define $A^\perp$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of all objects M such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E[i], M) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all elements E of A. We say that A *generates* $\mathcal{D}$ if $A^\perp = 0$. Now assume $\mathcal{D}$ admits arbitrary direct sums. An object B is called *compact* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B, -)$ commutes with direct sums. Denoting by $\mathcal{D}^c$ the subcategory of compact objects we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *compactly generated* if the objects of $\mathcal{D}^c$ generate $\mathcal{D}$. One has the following important theorem (see [8], Theorem 2.1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a compactly generated triangulated category. Then a set of objects $A \subset \mathcal{D}^c$ generates $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\langle A\rangle = \mathcal{D}^c$.*

For a smooth projective variety X over k, we denote by $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ the derived category of quasicoherent sheaves on X. The bounded derived category of coherent sheaves is denoted by $D^b(X)$. Note that $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is compactly generated with compact objects being all of $D^b(X)$. For details on generating see [8].

Definition 3.2. Let A be a division algebra over k, not necessarily central. An object $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ is called *w-exceptional* if $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet) = A$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet[r]) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$. If $A = k$ the object is called *exceptional*. The w in w-exceptional stands for weak (see [22]). If A is a separable k-algebra, the object $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$ is called *separable-exceptional*.

Definition 3.3. A totally ordered set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\}$ of w-exceptional (resp. separable-exceptional) objects on X is called an *w-exceptional collection* (resp. *separable-exceptional collection*) if $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i^\bullet, \mathcal{E}_j^\bullet[r]) = 0$ for all integers r whenever $i > j$. An w-exceptional (resp. separable-exceptional) collection is *full* if $\langle\{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\}\rangle = D^b(X)$ and *strong* if $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i^\bullet, \mathcal{E}_j^\bullet[r]) = 0$ whenever $r \neq 0$. If the set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\}$ consists of exceptional objects it is called *exceptional collection*.

Notice that the direct sum of objects forming a full strong w-exceptional (resp. separable-exceptional) collection is a tilting object in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Remark 3.4. If the ring A in Definition 3.4 is required to be a semisimple algebra, the object is also called *semi-exceptional object* in the literature (see [22]). Consequently, one can also define (full) semi-exceptional collections.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathbb{P}^n$ be the projective space and consider the ordered collection of invertible sheaves $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1), ..., \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n)\}$. In [4] Beilinson showed that this is a full strong exceptional collection.

Example 3.6. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\{\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1,0), \mathcal{O}_X(0,1), \mathcal{O}_X(1,1)\}$ is a full strong exceptional collection on X. We use the notion $\mathcal{O}_X(i,j)$ for $\mathcal{O}(i)\boxtimes\mathcal{O}(j)$.

A generalization of the notion of a full w-exceptional collection is that of a semiorthogonal decomposition of $D^b(X)$. Recall that a full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ of $D^b(X)$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow D^b(X)$ has a left and right adjoint functor.

Definition 3.7. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k. A sequence $\mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n$ of full triangulated subcategories of $D^b(X)$ is called *semiorthogonal* if all $\mathcal{D}_i \subset D^b(X)$ are admissible and $\mathcal{D}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_i^{\perp} = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(X) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0, \forall\ \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i\}$ for $i > j$. Such a sequence defines a *semiorthogonal decomposition* of $D^b(X)$ if the smallest full thick subcategory containing all $\mathcal{D}_i$ equals $D^b(X)$.

For a semiorthogonal decomposition we write $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$.

Remark 3.8. Let $\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet$ be a full w-exceptional collection on X. It is easy to verify that by setting $\mathcal{D}_i = \langle \mathcal{E}_i^\bullet \rangle$ one gets a semiorthogonal decomposition $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$.

For a wonderful and comprehensive overview of the theory on semiorthogonal decompositions and its relevance in algebraic geometry we refer to [16].

4. Recollections on noncommutative motives

We refer to [25] and [18] for a survey on non-commutative motives. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small dg category. The homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and as morphisms $H^0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y))$. A source of examples is provided by schemes since the derived category of perfect complexes $\mathrm{perf}(X)$ of any quasi-compact quasi-seperated scheme X admits a canonical dg enhancement $\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)$ (for details see [13]). Denote by **dgcat** the category of small dg categories. The *opposite* dg category $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}(x, y) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(y, x)$. A *right $\mathcal{A}$-module* is a dg functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \to C_{dg}(k)$ with values in the dg category $C_{dg}(k)$ of complexes of k-vector spaces. We write $C(\mathcal{A})$ for the category of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules. Recall form [13] that the *derived category* $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the localization of $C(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to quasi-isomorphisms. A dg functor $F\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is called *derived Morita equivalence* if the restriction of scalars functor $D(\mathcal{B}) \to D(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence. The *tensor product* $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ of two dg categories is defined as follows: the set of objects is the cartesian product of the sets of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B}}((x, w), (y, z)) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(w, z)$ (see [13]). Given two dg categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, let $\mathrm{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the full triangulated subcategory of $D(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ consisting of those $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$-bimodules M such that $M(x, -)$ is a compact object of $D(\mathcal{B})$ for every object $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Now there is a additive symmetric monoidal category Hmo_0 with objects being small dg categories and morphisms being

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Hmo}_0}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq K_0(\mathrm{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})).$$

To any such small dg category $\mathcal{A}$ one can associate functorially its noncommutative motive $U(\mathcal{A})$ which takes values in Hmo_0. This functor $U\colon \mathbf{dgcat} \to \mathrm{Hmo}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is proved to be the *universal additive invariant* (see [25]). Recall from [28] that an additive invariant is any functor $E\colon \mathbf{dgcat} \to \mathcal{D}$ taking values in an additive category $\mathcal{D}$ such that

- **(i)** it sends derived Morita equivalences to isomorphisms,
- **(ii)** for any pre-triangulated dg category $\mathcal{A}$ admitting full pre-triangulated dg subcategories $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{B}), H^0(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$ is a semiorthogonal decomposition, the morphism $E(\mathcal{B}) \oplus E(\mathcal{C}) \to E(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the inclusions is an isomorphism.

In the present paper we frequently make use of the four theorems stated below. We use the following notation: Let G split simply connected semi-simple algebraic group over the field k and P a parabolic subgroup. Recall from [23],§2 that there exits a finite free Ch-homogeneous basis of $R(\widetilde{P})$ over $R(\widetilde{G})$. Moreover, to a 1-cocycle $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to G(k^s)$ one has the Tit's map (see [23], §3 or [14], p.377) $\beta_\gamma\colon \mathrm{Ch} \to \mathrm{Br}(k)$ which is a group

homomorphism and assigns to each character $\chi \in \mathrm{Ch}$ a central simple algebra $A_{\chi,\gamma} \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$. If $\rho_1, ..., \rho_n$ is the Ch-homogeneous $R(\widetilde{G})$ basis of $R(\widetilde{P})$ we write $\chi(i)$ for the character such that $\rho_i \in R^{\chi(i)}(\widetilde{P})$. Under this notation one has the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 ([28], Theorem 2.1 (i))**.** *Let G, P and γ be as above and $E\colon dgcat \to D$ an additive invariant. Then every* Ch*-homogeneous basis $\rho_1, ..., \rho_n$ of $R(\widetilde{P})$ over $R(\widetilde{G})$ give rise to an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} E(A_{\chi(i),\gamma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} E({}_{\gamma}X),$$

where $A_{\chi(i),\gamma}$ are the Tit's central simple algebras associated to ρ_i via $\beta_\gamma\colon \mathrm{Ch} \to \mathrm{Br}(k)$.

Theorem 4.2 ([28], Theorem 3.3)**.** *Let G, P and γ as in Theorem 4.1. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} U(k) \simeq U({}_{\gamma}X)$ if and only if the Brauer classes $[A_{\chi(i),\gamma}]$ are trivial.*

For central simple k-algebras one has the following comparison theorem:

Theorem 4.3 ([27], Theorem 2.19)**.** *Let $A_1, ..., A_n$ and $B_1, ..., B_m$ be central simple k-algebras, then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} U(A_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} U(B_j).$$

(ii) *The equality $n = m$ holds and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all p*

$$[B_i^p] = [A^p_{\sigma_p(i)}] \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$$

for some permutations σ_p depending on p.

For the proofs of our main results we also need the next result. Denote by $\mathrm{NChow}(k)$ the category of non-commutative Chow motives (see [26] for details). Now let $\mathrm{CSep}(k)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{NChow}(k)$ consisting of objects of the form $U(A)$ with A a commutative separable k-algebra. Analogously, $\mathrm{Sep}(k)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathrm{NChow}(k)$ consisting of objects $U(A)$ with A a separable k-algebra. And finally, we write $\mathrm{CSA}(k)$ for the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Sep}(k)$ consisting of $U(A)$ with A being a central simple k-algebra. Moreover, $\mathrm{CSA}(k)^{\oplus}$ denotes the closure of $\mathrm{CSA}(k)$ under finite direct sums.

Theorem 4.4 ([27], Corollary 2.13)**.** *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\{U(k)^{\oplus n} \mid n \geq 0\} \simeq \mathrm{CSA}(k)^{\oplus} \times_{\mathrm{Sep}(k)} \mathrm{CSep}(k)$$

i.e. $\{U(k)^{\oplus n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a 2-pullback of categories with respect to the inclusion morphisms.

5. Non-existence of full exceptional collections

In this section we show that the finite product of non-split (generalized) Brauer–Severi varieties and non-trivial twisted forms of quadrics can not have full exceptional collections. The idea for the proofs is essentially contained in [24].

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties. Then X admits a full exceptional collection if and only if X splits as the product of projective spaces.*

Proof. A projective space admits a full exceptional collection according to Example 3.5. Now it is a general fact that the finite product of varieties admitting full exceptional collections have full exceptional collections, too. In fact, this follows from the Künneth formula and from general results on generating objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves. Note that this also an application of more general results proved in [15].

To prove the other implication we use induction on the number of factors in the finite product. We restrict ourselves to prove the statement only for the case $X = Y_1 \times Y_2$.

Now assume that $X = Y_1 \times Y_2$ admits a full exceptional collection. Note that the length of this collection equals $d = \mathrm{rk}K_0(X)$. We know that a n-dimensional Brauer–Severi variety Y corresponding to a central simple algebra A admits a full w-exceptional collection $\{\mathcal{E}_0, ..., \mathcal{E}_n\}$ with $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}_l)$ being Brauer-equivalent to $A^{\otimes l}$ (see for instance [22], Example 1.17). Let A_1 and A_2 be the central simple algebras corresponding to Y_1 and Y_2 respectively and let $\{\mathcal{F}_0, ..., \mathcal{F}_r\}$ and $\{\mathcal{G}_0, ..., \mathcal{G}_s\}$ be full w-exceptional collections. Now one can use the Künneth formula to show that X has a full semi-exceptional collection. To be precise, one can show that the ordered collection

$$\{\mathcal{F}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_j\}_{(i,j)\in\{0,...,r\}\times\{0,...,s\}} \tag{1}$$

is a full semi-exceptional collection, where $\mathcal{F}_{i_1}\boxtimes\mathcal{G}_{j_1}$ precedes $\mathcal{F}_{i_2}\boxtimes\mathcal{G}_{j_2}$ iff $(i_1, j_1) \prec (i_2, j_2)$. Here $\prec$ stands for the lexicographic order on $\{0, ..., r\} \times \{0, ..., s\}$. The reason why we obtain a full semi-exceptional collection instead of a full w-exceptional collection lies in the fact that $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ is Brauer-equivalent to $A_1^{\otimes i}$ and $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{G}_j)$ to $A_2^{\otimes j}$ (see [22], Example 1.17). So by the Künneth formula $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_j)$ is Brauer-equivalent to $A_1^{\otimes i} \otimes A_2^{\otimes j}$ which is a central simple but not necessarily a division algebra.

From the full semi-exceptional collection (1) we conclude that X admits a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(Y_1 \times Y_2) = \langle D^b(C_{i,j})\rangle_{(i,j)\in\{0,...,r\}\times\{0,...,s\}}, \tag{2}$$

where $C_{i,j} = A_1^{\otimes i} \otimes A_2^{\otimes j}$ are central simple k-algebras as explained before. The ordering in this decomposition is the same as in the collection (1). Now denote by $U(\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X))$ the noncommutative motive of the dg category $\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)$ (see [27] for details). We then obtain

$$U(\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)) \simeq \bigoplus_{(i,j)} U(C_{i,j}) \simeq U(k)^{\oplus d},$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the existence of the semiorthogonal decomposition (2) and the second one from the assumption that X admits a full exceptional collection. Now Theorem 4.3 implies that $C_{i,j}$ is Brauer-equivalent to k for all pairs (i, j). In particular A_1 and A_2 are split and hence $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^r_k \times \mathbb{P}^s_k$. □

Remark 5.2. The above proposition implies that a product of Brauer–Severi varieties is rational over k if and only if it admits a full exceptional collection. For further varieties satisfying such a condition see for instance [2] and [30] and references therein.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be the finite product of generalized Brauer–Severi variety over a field of characteristic zero. Then X admits a full exceptional collection if and only if X splits as a finite product of Grassmannians.*

Proof. A Grassmannian over a field k of characteristic zero admits a full exceptional collection according to [12]. One can argue as in Proposition 5.1 to conclude that the finite product of such Grassmannians has a full exceptional collection, too.

In order to prove the other implication, note that in [7] it is shown that a generalized Brauer–Severi variety $\mathrm{BS}(d, A)$ associated to a central simple algebra A of degree n over a field k of characteristic zero admits a full w-exceptional collection. To be precise, denote by P the set of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d)$ with $0 \leq \lambda_d \leq ... \leq \lambda_1 \leq n - d$. One can choose a total order $\prec$ on P such that $\lambda \prec \mu$ means that the Young diagram of λ is not contained in that of μ. Under this notation, in *loc.cit.* it is proved that there is a full semi-exceptional collection (in the sense of Remark 3.4) $\{...\mathcal{V}_\lambda, ..., \mathcal{V}_\mu...\}$ with $\lambda \prec \mu$ and $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ being isomorphic to $A^{\otimes|\lambda|}$. Here $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + ... + \lambda_d$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d) \in P$. By the Wedderburn Theorem $A^{\otimes|\lambda|} \simeq M_{n_\lambda}(D_\lambda)$ with some unique central division algebras D_λ. In particular, this implies that the Krull–Schmidt decomposition of such a $\mathcal{V}_\lambda$ is given by $\mathcal{V}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{W}_\lambda^{\oplus n_\lambda}$. By construction, the ordered set $\{...\mathcal{W}_\lambda, ..., \mathcal{W}_\mu...\}$ is a full w-exceptional collection with $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}_\lambda)$ being isomorphic to D_λ and therefore Brauer-equivalent to $A^{\otimes|\lambda|}$.

As in the proof of Proposition 5.1 we restrict to the case when $X = \mathrm{BS}(d_1, A_1) \times \mathrm{BS}(d_2, A_2)$ for two central simple k-algebras A_1 and A_2. Then assume that X admits a full exceptional collection and imitate the proof of Proposition 5.1 to conclude that X must be isomorphic to the product of two Grassmannians. The details are left to the reader. □

Now let $G = \mathrm{PSO}_n$ be over k with n even and $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$. Given a 1-cocycle $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to \mathrm{PSO}_n(k^s)$ we get a twisted form of a quadric ${}_\gamma Q$ and a central simple k-algebra (A, σ) of degree n with involution associated to γ. Note that ${}_\gamma Q$ is isomorphic to the involution variety $\mathrm{IV}(A, \sigma)$ from Section 2. For any splitting field L of A, the variety ${}_\gamma Q \otimes_k L$ is isomorphic to a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_L$.

Proposition 5.4. *The twisted form ${}_\gamma Q$ from above admits a full exceptional collection if and only if it splits, i.e. if (A, σ) splits and ${}_\gamma Q$ is a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k$. Moreover,* $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$ *if and only if ${}_\gamma Q$ splits.*

Proof. If (A, σ) is split, i.e. if ${}_\gamma Q$ is a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k$, the existence of a full exceptional collection follows from [7] (see proof of Proposition 7.2). Therefore, $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$ according to [2], Lemma 1.19. Now we assume $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$. Then the noncommutative motive decomposes as $U({}_\gamma Q) = U(K_1) \oplus ... \oplus U(K_r)$ for suitable étale k-algebras K_i, see [2], Lemma 1.19. On the other hand (see [28], Example 3.11), one has

$$U({}_\gamma Q) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>0 \\ even}}^{n-3} U(k) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>0 \\ odd}}^{n-3} U(A) \right) \oplus U(C_0^+(A, \sigma)) \oplus U(C_0^-(A, \sigma)).$$

Since

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>0 \\ even}}^{n-3} U(k) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>0 \\ odd}}^{n-3} U(A) \right) \oplus U(C_0^*(A, \sigma)) \oplus U(C_0^-(A, \sigma)) \simeq U(K_1) \oplus ... \oplus U(K_r),$$

Theorem 4.4 in combination with Theorem 4.2 imply that A must be split. The same argument shows ${}_\gamma Q$ admits a full exceptional collection if and only if it splits. This completes the proof. □

Note that in Proposition 5.4 the central simple algebras $k, A, C_0^+(A, \sigma)$ and $C_0^-(A, \sigma)$ are the minimal Tit's algebras of ${}_\gamma Q$. Recall from [19] that the Tit's algebras of the product of adjoint semi-simple algebraic groups is the tensor product of the Tit's algebras of the factors ([19], Corollary 2.3). Using Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 and the fact that finite products of the considered varieties have full exceptional collections too, one can imitate the proofs of Proposition 5.1 and 5.3 to show:

Corollary 5.5. *Let $X = {}_{\gamma_1} Q_1 \times ... \times {}_{\gamma_m} Q_m$ be the finite product of twisted forms of quadrics as in Proposition 5.4. Let (A_i, σ_i) be the central simple algebra with involution associated to the factor ${}_{\gamma_i} Q_i$. Then X admits a full exceptional collection if and only if all A_i split, i.e. if X splits as the product of smooth quadrics. Moreover* $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ *if and only if if X splits as the product of smooth quadrics.*

More generally, Theorem 4.2 has the following consequence.

Proposition 5.6. *Let G be a split simply connected simple algebraic group of classical type A_n, B_n, C_n or D_n ($n \neq 4$) over k ($\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$) and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. Moreover, let $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to G(k^s)$ be a 1-cocycle, $X = G/P$ the homogeneous variety and ${}_\gamma X$ its twisted form. If ${}_\gamma X$ admits a full exceptional collection, then γ must be the trivial 1-cocycle. In other words, non-trivial twisted flags of the considered type do not have full exceptional collections.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{P}$ be the universal covers of G and P respectively and $R(\widetilde{G})$ and $R(\widetilde{P})$ the associated representation rings. One has the character group $\mathrm{Ch} = \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ which is a finite group. Now let $\rho_1, ..., \rho_n$ be a Ch-homogeneous basis of $R(\widetilde{P})$ over $R(\widetilde{G})$ and $A_{\chi(i),\gamma}$ the Tit's central simple algebras associated to ρ_i. It is clear that $n \geq \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Ch})$ (see [23] for details on the basis $\rho_1, ..., \rho_n$). Then by Theorem 4.2 an equivalence of noncommutative motives

$$U({}_\gamma X) \simeq U(k) \oplus ... \oplus U(k)$$

implies that the Brauer classes $[A_{\chi(i),\gamma}]$ must be trivial. Since $n \geq \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Ch})$, the Tit's map $\beta_\gamma \colon \mathrm{Ch} \to \mathrm{Br}(k)$ must be trivial. Now from the classification of the minimal Tit's algebras for simply connected classical groups (see [14], p.378 and p.563 for type D_4) we conclude that the 1-cocycle γ must be trivial. This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.7. We believe that in Proposition 5.6 we actually have if and only if.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $G_1, ..., G_n$ be split simply connected simple algebraic groups as in Theorem 5.6 and $\gamma_i \colon \mathrm{Gal}(k^s|k) \to G_i(k^s)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, 1-cocycles. Given parabolic subgroups $P_i \subset G_i$, let ${}_{\gamma_i}(G_i/P_i)$ be the twisted forms of G_i/P_i. If $X = {}_{\gamma_1}(G_1/P_1) \times ... \times {}_{\gamma_n}(G_n/P_n)$ admits a full exceptional collection, then all 1-cocycles γ_i must be trivial.*

Proof. We just sketch the proof as it is completely analogous to that of Proposition 5.6. Consider the classification of the minimal Tit's algebras for simply connected classical groups given in [14] (see also [19]). Then the successive tensor products of the Tit's algebras of each factor gives the Tit's algebras of X. From Theorem 4.2 we conclude that all these algebras must be trivial in $\mathrm{Br}(k)$. As $\chi = 0 \in \mathrm{Ch}$, we finally find that all 1-cocycles γ_i must be trivial. □

6. Categorical representability in dimension zero and rational points

Propositions 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4 are also related to the existence of k-rational points of the considered varieties. Below we prove that the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties is categorical representable in dimension zero if and only if it is k-rational if and only if it admits a k-rational point. For details and further results concerning this question see [5] and [2]. Recall the definitions from [1] given in the introduction.

Definition 6.1. A k-linear triangulated category T is *representable in dimension m* if it admits a semiorthogonal decomposition $T = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_r \rangle$ and for each $i = 1, ..., r$ there exists a smooth projective connected variety Y_i with $\dim(Y_i) \leq m$, such that $\mathcal{A}_i$ is equivalent to an admissible subcategory of $D^b(Y_i)$.

We will use the following notation:

$$\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) := \min\{m \mid \mathcal{T} \text{ is representable in dimension m}\},$$

whenever such a finite m exists.

Definition 6.2. Let X be a smooth projective k-variety. One says X is *representable in dimension m* if $D^b(X)$ is representable in dimension m. We will write

$$\mathrm{rdim}(X) := \mathrm{rdim}(D^b(X)).$$

Based on the idea of the proof of Proposition 5.1, we show:

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties over a field k. Then the following are equivalent:*

- **(i)** $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$.
- **(ii)** *X admits a full exceptional collection.*
- **(iii)** *X is rational over k.*
- **(iv)** *X admits a k-rational point.*

Proof. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is an application of a Theorem of Châtelet (see [10], Theorem 5.1.3) and the Lang–Nishimura Theorem. To be precise, if $X = Y_1 \times ... \times Y_r$ is birational over k to some $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, we can consider the rational map $\mathbb{P}^n_k \dashrightarrow X$ to obtain a k-rational point on X from the Lang–Nishimura Theorem. Now assume X admits a k rational point. Note that we have the projections $p_i : X \to Y_i$ which, again by the Lang–Nishimura Theorem, provide us with k-rational points on every Y_i. The before mentioned Theorem of Châtelet implies that $Y_i \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m_i}_k$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$. Thus $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m_1}_k \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{m_r}_k$ and so it is rational over k. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is the content of Proposition 5.1 (see Remark 5.2).

It remains to show that (i) is equivalent to (iii). So we assume $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. We restrict ourselves to prove the case $X = Y_1 \times Y_2$, since the case $X = Y_1 \times ... \times Y_r$ with $r > 2$ follows easily from induction on r. Denote by A_1 and A_2 the central simple k-algebras corresponding to Y_1 and Y_2 respectively. By [2], Lemma 1.19 there exists a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(X) = \langle D^b(K_1), ..., D^b(K_r)\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where K_i are suitable étale k-algebras. We also have the semiorthogonal decomposition (2) from the proof of Proposition 6.1

$$D^b(Y_1 \times Y_2) = \langle D^b(C_{i,j})\rangle_{(i,j)\in\{0,...,r\}\times\{0,...,s\}},$$

where $C_{i,j} = A_1^{\otimes i} \otimes A_2^{\otimes j}$ are central simple k-algebras. For the noncommutative motive of $\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)$ we therefore have

$$U(\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)) \simeq \bigoplus_{(i,j)} U(C_{i,j}) \simeq U(K_1) \oplus ... \oplus U(K_r).$$

Now Theorem 4.4 in combination with Theorem 4.3 imply that $C_{i,j}$ are split. In particular, A_1 and A_2 are split and therefore $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n_k \times \mathbb{P}^m_k$. This means X is k-rational.

On the other hand, if X is rational over k there is a birational map $\mathbb{P}^s_k \dashrightarrow X = Y_1 \times Y_2$ and by the Lang–Nishimura Theorem X admits a k-rational point. From the Theorem of Châtelet we conclude $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n_k \times \mathbb{P}^m_k$. Therefore X has a full (strong) exceptional collection and Lemma 1.19 of [2] implies $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let X be the finite product of Brauer–Severi varieties. Then* $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ *if and only if it admits a full exceptional collection.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 5.1 with Theorem 6.3. □

Remark 6.5. In [2], the statement of Theorem 6.3 is proved for the special case where X is a Brauer–Severi surface. The proof in *loc.cit.* however relies on the transitivity of the Braid group action on the set of full exceptional collections on $X \otimes_k k^s = \mathbb{P}^2_{k^s}$ and makes use of Galois descent.

Theorem 6.6. *Let X be the finite product of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties over a field k of characteristic zero. Then* $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ *if and only if it splits as the finite product of Grassmannians over k.*

Proof. We mentioned in Proposition 5.3 that the finite product X of Grassmannians over k admits a full exceptional collection. Lemma 1.19 of [2] immediately implies $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$.

Now assume $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3 we restrict ourselves to the case where X is the product of two generalized Brauer–Severi varieties. So let A_1 and A_2 be central simple k-algebras and $X = \mathrm{BS}(d_1, A_1) \times \mathrm{BS}(d_2, A_2)$ the product of the corresponding generalized Brauer–Severi varieties. By assumption and by Lemma 1.19 of [2] there is a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(X) = \langle D^b(K_1), ..., D^b(K_r)\rangle, \tag{4}$$

where K_i are suitable étale k-algebras. Note that we also have a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(X) = \langle D^b(C_{\lambda,\mu})\rangle_{(\lambda,\mu)\in P\times P'},$$

where $C_{\lambda,\mu}$ are central simple k-algebras which are Brauer-equivalent to $A_1^{\otimes|\lambda|}\otimes A_2^{\otimes|\mu|}$. In fact this follows from the existence of semi-exceptional collections $\{\mathcal{V}_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in P}$ on $\mathrm{BS}(d_1, A_1)$ and $\{\mathcal{V}'_\mu\}_{\mu\in P'}$ on $\mathrm{BS}(d_2, A_2)$ (see proof of Proposition 5.3) and from the Künneth formula as it provides us with a semi-exceptional collection $\{..., \mathcal{V}_\lambda\boxtimes\mathcal{V}'_\mu, ...\}_{(\lambda,\mu)\in P\times P'}$ on X. Here P is the set of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{d_1})$ with $0 \leq \lambda_{d_1} \leq ... \leq \lambda_1 \leq \deg(A_1) - d_1$ and P' that of partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_{d_2})$ with $0 \leq \mu_{d_2} \leq ... \leq \mu_1 \leq \deg(A_2) - d_2$. Then $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{V}'_\mu) = C_{\lambda,\mu}$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3 we have

$$U(\mathrm{perf}_{dg}(X)) \simeq \bigoplus_{(\lambda,\mu)} U(C_{\lambda,\mu}) \simeq U(K_1)\oplus ...\oplus U(K_r)$$

implying that $C_{\lambda,\mu}$ must be split. In particular A_1 and A_2 are split and X must be isomorphic to $\mathrm{Grass}(d_1, \deg(A_1)) \times \mathrm{Grass}(d_2, \deg(A_2))$. □

Corollary 6.7. *Let X be the finite product of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties over a field of characteristic zero. Then* $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ *if and only if it admits a full exceptional collection.*

Remark 6.8. Theorem 6.6 shows that if $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ for a finite product X of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties over a field k of characteristic zero, then it is rational over k and has therefore a k-rational point. Note that the other implication does not hold. Indeed, let (a, b) be a non-split quaternion algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. Consider the central simple algebra $A = M_n((a, b))$ for $n \geq 2$ and the associated generalized Brauer–Severi variety $X = \mathrm{BS}(d, A)$ with for instance $d = 4$. As $\mathrm{ind}((a, b)) = 2$ divides $d = 4$, results in [6] show that X admits a k-rational point. But Corollary 6.7 in combination with Proposition 5.3 imply that $\mathrm{rdim}(X) \neq 0$.

Recall that a central simple algebra (A, σ) with involution is called *isotropic* if it contains a non-zero isotropic ideal. A right ideal $I \subset A$ is called *isotropic* if $I \subset I^\perp = \{x \in A | \sigma(x)y = 0 \text{ for } y \in I\}$. Note that an isotropic ideal remains isotropic after scalar extension. So after base change to some splitting field L of A the involution variety associated to an isotropic involution algebra (A, σ) becomes a quadric $V(q)$ where q is an isotropic quadratic form over L (see [14], p.74 Example 6.6).

Proposition 6.9. *Let ${}_\gamma Q$ be as in Proposition 5.4 and assume the associated involution algebra (A, σ) is isotropic. Then the following are equivalent:*

- **(i)** $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$.
- **(ii)** ${}_\gamma Q$ *admits a full exceptional collection.*
- **(iii)** ${}_\gamma Q$ *is rational over k.*
- **(iv)** ${}_\gamma Q$ *admits a k-rational point.*

Proof. If ${}_\gamma Q$ admits a full exceptional collection, $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$. Now if $\mathrm{rdim}({}_\gamma Q) = 0$, Proposition 5.4 implies that A is split and therefore ${}_\gamma Q$ must be a quadric coming from an isotropic quadratic form. Hence ${}_\gamma Q$ admits a full exceptional collection. This proves the equivalence of (i) and (ii). Assume (ii). Then Proposition 5.4 shows A is split and Proposition 4.3 of [29] implies that ${}_\gamma Q$ has a k-rational point. On the other hand, the existence of a k-rational point on ${}_\gamma Q$ implies again by Proposition 4.3 of [29] that A is split and therefore ${}_\gamma Q$ must be a quadric, which admits a full exceptional collection. This proves the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). Now it is well-known that (ii) and (iv) are equivalent (see for instance [9]). □

Corollary 6.10. *Proposition 6.9 also holds for finite products of twisted forms of smooth quadrics where each factor is associated to an isotropic involution algebra.*

References

[1] A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Cycles, derived categories, and rationality. arXiv:1612.02415v1 [math.AG] (2016).

[2] A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Semiorthogonal decompositions and birational geometry of del Pezzo surfaces over arbitrary fields. arXiv:1511.07576v1 [math.AG] (2015).

[3] M. Artin: Brauer-Severi varieties. Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 917, Notes by A. Verschoren, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag (1982), 194210

[4] A.A. Beilinson: Coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and problems in linear algebra. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. Vol. 12 (1978), 68-69.

[5] M. Bernardara and M. Bolognesi: Categorical representability and intermediate Jacobians of Fano threefolds. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc. (2013), 1-10.

[6] A. Blanchet: Function fields of generalized Brauer–Severi varieties. Comm. Algebra. Vol. 19 (1991), 97-118.

[7] M. Blunk: A derived equivalence for some twisted projective homogeneous varieties. arXiv:1204.0537v1 [math.AG] (2012).

[8] A.I. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh: Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. Mosc. Math. J. Vol. 3 (2003), 1-36.

[9] A. Corti, J. Kollár and K. Smith: Rational and nearly rational varieties. Cambride Studies in Advanced Mathematics 92, Cambridge University Press (2004).

[10] P. Gille and T. Szamuely: Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology. Cambridge Studies in advanced Mathematics. 101. Cambridge University Press. (2006)

[11] D. Huybrechts: Fourier–Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press (2006).

[12] M.M. Kapranov: On the derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassmann manifolds. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. Vol. 48 (1984), 192-202.

[13] B. Keller: On differential graded categories. International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid), Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc. (2006), 151-190.

[14] M-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J-P. Tignol: The Book of Involutions. AMS Coll. Publ. 44, AMS, Providence, RI (1998).

[15] A. Kuznetsov: Base change for semiorthogonal decompositions. Compos. Math. Vol. 147 (2011), 852-876.

[16] A.G. Kuznetsov: Semiorthogonal decomposition in algebraic geometry. arXiv:1404.3143v3 [math.AG] (2015).

[17] A.G. Kuznetsov and A. Polishchuk: Excetional collections on isotropic Grassmannians. J. Eur. Math. Soc. Vol. 18 (2016), 507-574.

[18] M. Marcolli and G. Tabuada: Noncommutative motives and their applications. arXiv:1311.2867v2 [math.AG] (2013).

[19] A.S. Merkurjev, I.A. Panin and A.R. Wadsworth: Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties I. K-theory Vol. 10 (1996), 517-696.

[20] S. Novaković: Tilting objects on some global quotient stacks. To appear in Journal of Commutative Algebra.

[21] S. Novaković: No full exceptional collections on non-split Brauer–Severi varieties of dimension ≤ 3. arXiv:1603.08373v1 [math.AG] (2016).

[22] D.O. Orlov: Smooth and proper noncommutative schemes and gluing of DG categories. arXiv:1402.7364v5 [math.AG] (2015).

[23] I.A. Panin: On the algebraic K-theory of twisted flag varieties. K-Theory Vol. 8 (1994), 541-585.

[24] T. Raedschelders: Non-split Severi–Brauer varieties do not admit full exceptional collections. arXiv:1605.09216v1 [math.AG] (2016).

[25] G. Tabuada: A guided tour through the garden of noncommutative motives. Clay Math. Proc. 16 (2012), 259-276.

[26] G. Tabuada: Chow motives versus noncommutative motives. J. Noncommut. Geom. Vol. 7 (2013), 767-786.

[27] G. Tabuada and M. Van den Bergh: Noncommutative motives of separable algebras. arXiv:1411.7970 (2014).

[28] G. Tabuada: Additive invariants of toric and twisted projective homogeneous varieties via noncommutative motives. J. Algebra Vol. 417 (2014), 1538.
[29] D. Tao: A Variety Associated to an Algebra with Involution. J. Algebra Vol. 168 (1994), 479-520.
[30] C. Vial: Exceptional collections, and the Néron–Severi lattice for surfaces. arXiv:1504.01776v2 [math.AG] (2015).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH–HEINE–UNIVERSITÄT 40225 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY
E-mail adress: novakovic@math.uni-duesseldorf.de